UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,935	11/03/2003	Chung Chan	069660.00003	5062
33221 HOLLAND & I	7590 11/30/200 KNIGHT LLP	9	EXAMINER	
2099 PENNSY	LVANIA AVE, N.W.		GUERRA-ERAZO, EDGAR X	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/698,935	CHAN, CHUNG			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	EDGAR GUERRA-ERAZO	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the			
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.					
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.				
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on					
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review			
7. X The reason(s) below:					
Examiner Edgar Guerra-Erazo has tried several atte unsuccesful results. No reply has been filed.	empts to reach Attorney of Record	d, Kevin Buford (34,786) with			
/David R Hudspeth/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to					